

# Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems<sup>•</sup>

# RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1029/2022GC010542

#### **Key Points:**

- We develop an in situ elastic tensor for oceanic lithosphere that incorporates co-located compressional and shear wavespeed anisotropy constraints
- Seismic anisotropy is consistent with corner flow during spreading and shear strains of 250%–400%
- Girdled D-type olivine fabric implies activation of multiple olivine easy slip systems during mid-ocean ridge spreading

**Supporting Information:** 

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

#### **Correspondence to:**

J. B. Russell, Joshua\_Russell@brown.edu

#### Citation:

Russell, J. B., Gaherty, J. B., Mark, H. F., Hirth, G., Hansen, L. N., Lizarralde, D., et al. (2022). Seismological evidence for girdled olivine lattice-preferred orientation in oceanic lithosphere and implications for mantle deformation processes during seafloor spreading. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 23, e2022GC010542. https://doi. org/10.1029/2022GC010542

Received 24 MAY 2022 Accepted 1 SEP 2022

#### **Author Contributions:**

Conceptualization: J. B. Russell, J. B. Gaherty, G. Hirth, L. N. Hansen Data curation: H. F. Mark, L. N. Hansen Formal analysis: J. B. Russell, H. F. Mark Funding acquisition: J. B. Gaherty Investigation: J. B. Russell Methodology: J. B. Russell, J. B. Gaherty, G. Hirth Project Administration: J. B. Gaherty Software: J. B. Russell Supervision: J. B. Gaherty, G. Hirth, L. N. Hansen, D. Lizarralde, J. A. Collins, R. L. Evans

© 2022. The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Seismological Evidence for Girdled Olivine Lattice-Preferred Orientation in Oceanic Lithosphere and Implications for Mantle Deformation Processes During Seafloor Spreading

J. B. Russell<sup>1</sup>, J. B. Gaherty<sup>2</sup>, H. F. Mark<sup>3</sup>, G. Hirth<sup>1</sup>, L. N. Hansen<sup>4</sup>, D. Lizarralde<sup>3</sup>, J. A. Collins<sup>3</sup>, and R. L. Evans<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth, Environmental and Planetary Sciences, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA, <sup>2</sup>School of Earth and Sustainability, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ, USA, <sup>3</sup>Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, USA, <sup>4</sup>Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

**Abstract** Seismic anisotropy produced by aligned olivine in oceanic lithosphere offers a window into mid-ocean ridge (MOR) dynamics. Yet, interpreting anisotropy in the context of grain-scale deformation processes and strain observed in laboratory experiments and natural olivine samples has proven challenging due to incomplete seismological constraints and length scale differences spanning orders of magnitude. To bridge this observational gap, we estimate an in situ elastic tensor for oceanic lithosphere using co-located compressional- and shear-wavespeed anisotropy observations at the NoMelt experiment located on ~70 Ma seafloor. The elastic model for the upper 7 km of the mantle, NoMelt\_SPani7, is characterized by a fast azimuth parallel to the fossil-spreading direction, consistent with corner-flow deformation fabric. We compare this model with a database of 123 petrofabrics from the literature to infer olivine crystallographic orientations and shear strain accumulated within the lithosphere. Direct comparison to olivine deformation experiments indicates strain accumulation of 250%-400% in the shallow mantle. We find evidence for D-type olivine lattice-preferred orientation (LPO) with fast [100] parallel to the shear direction and girdled [010] and [001] crystallographic axes perpendicular to shear. D-type LPO implies similar amounts of slip on the (010)[100] and (001)[100] easy slip systems during MOR spreading; we hypothesize that grain-boundary sliding during dislocation creep relaxes strain compatibility, allowing D-type LPO to develop in the shallow lithosphere. Deformation dominated by dislocation-accommodated grain-boundary sliding (disGBS) has implications for in situ stress and grain size during MOR spreading and implies grain-size dependent deformation, in contrast to pure dislocation creep.

**Plain Language Summary** Earth's upper mantle is composed primarily of the mineral olivine, which responds to deformation by organizing its seismically fast axis in the flow direction. During seafloor spreading, olivine crystals align with the spreading direction and become frozen into the lithosphere preserving the near-ridge deformation history. The resulting rock fabric can be observed in place via the directional dependence of seismic wavespeeds (seismic anisotropy) as well as in hand-sample rocks collected from the field. However, interpreting seismic anisotropy observations in the context of laboratory and field data remains a challenge, due to large differences in length scale and incomplete seismic constraints. Here, we bridge this observational gap by incorporating multiple data types to solve for the complete anisotropic structure of oceanic lithosphere that formed ~70 Myr ago. By comparing our model to laboratory data, we infer the magnitude of shear strain and style of olivine deformation during seafloor spreading for the first time. Our results indicate large shear strains and an olivine fabric type different to that typically assumed, consistent with deformation that is sensitive to the presence of grain boundaries even though most of the strain is produced by dislocations. This has new implications for the formation and evolution of oceanic plates.

### 1. Introduction

Observations of seismic anisotropy in the ocean basins provide unparalleled insight into Earth's mantle circulation patterns, including the plate-tectonic process of seafloor spreading (Forsyth, 1975; Gaherty et al., 2004; Hess, 1964; Lin et al., 2016; Mark et al., 2019; Montagner & Tanimoto, 1991; Nishimura & Forsyth, 1989; Takeo et al., 2018; Toomey et al., 2007). Based on olivine lattice-preferred orientation (LPO) observed in peridotites sampled from ophiolites and oceanic settings (Ben-Ismail & Mainprice, 1998; Michibayashi et al., 2006;

1 of 22

# ----- SCIEN



Validation: J. B. Russell Writing – original draft: J. B. Russell Writing – review & editing: J. B. Russell, J. B. Gaherty, H. F. Mark, G. Hirth, L. N. Hansen, D. Lizarralde, J. A. Collins, R. L. Evans Peselnick & Nicolas, 1978; Skemer et al., 2010; Warren et al., 2008) and in deformation experiments on olivine (Bystricky et al., 2000; Zhang & Karato, 1995; Zhang et al., 2000), upper-mantle anisotropy has historically been interpreted as evidence that deformation associated with mantle convection and plate tectonics occurs via dislocation creep in an olivine-rich upper mantle (Karato & Wu, 1993). This inference in turn has implications for key physical parameters such as grain size and stress, and more generally, the rheology of the upper mantle (Hirth & Kohlstedt, 2003).

Subsequent advances in laboratory deformation experiments on olivine have complicated this interpretation, illuminating variations in the activity of several olivine slip systems and associated LPO fabric types that may depend on mantle conditions such as stress state, volatile content, and partial melting (Bystricky et al., 2000; Jung & Karato, 2001; Jung et al., 2006; Katayama et al., 2004; Qi et al., 2018). The three most commonly observed types of olivine LPO in experiments and natural settings are A-, E-, and D-type, each with their fast [100] crystallographic axis oriented sub-parallel to the shear direction but with variable orientations of the slow [010] and intermediate [001] axes (Figure 1). Due to incomplete constraints on in situ shear and compressional velocities, it has not been possible to distinguish between these three fabric types in oceanic lithosphere using traditional seismological observations, leading to renewed ambiguity of physical state and deformation processes during seafloor spreading (Karato et al., 2008).

Here, we utilize a unique set of co-located shear and compressional anisotropy observations in the central Pacific (Mark et al., 2019; Russell et al., 2019) to produce an exceptionally complete estimate of peridotite elasticity in the oceanic lithosphere. This new seismic model averaged in the upper 7 km, NoMelt\_SPani7, is then combined with a database of 123 olivine petrofabrics reported in the literature from experimentally and naturally deformed rocks to constrain olivine LPO type and to quantify shear strain associated with seafloor spreading near the mid-ocean ridge (MOR). The collection of laboratory and field petrofabric observations provide a framework for quantitative interpretation of the in situ elasticity tensor in terms of degree of strain, composition, LPO type, and potentially deformation mechanism.

### 2. Data

### 2.1. Seismic Observations at the NoMelt Experiment

The NoMelt geophysical experiment in the central Pacific provided unique co-located compressional- and shear-wavespeed constraints ( $V_{\rm p}$  and  $V_{\rm s}$ , respectively) for in situ lithosphere petrofabric over a 600 × 400 km<sup>2</sup> footprint with average seafloor age of ~70 Ma. It comprised a refraction survey that constrained  $V_{\rm PH}$  and its azimuthal anisotropy in the upper ~7 km of the mantle (Mark et al., 2019) and a broadband ocean-bottom seismometer deployment that resolved the complete  $V_s$  structure via observations of both Rayleigh-wave (Lin et al., 2016) and Love-wave azimuthal anisotropy (Russell et al., 2019) in the upper 40 km of the lithosphere. Together, these independent compressional and shear observations of seismic anisotropy probe the complete elastic tensor of oceanic lithosphere.

### 2.2. Olivine Fabric Database

We have compiled a database of the elastic properties of 123 published olivine fabrics that includes 91 olivine samples deformed in the laboratory in direct shear (Jung & Karato, 2001; Jung et al., 2006; Katayama et al., 2004; Zhang & Karato, 1995) and high-strain torsion (Bystricky et al., 2000; Hansen et al., 2014, 2016) experiments, as well as 31 natural peridotite samples from diverse settings including ophiolites (Ben-Ismail & Mainprice, 1998; Michibayashi et al., 2006; Peselnick & Nicolas, 1978; Skemer et al., 2010; Warren et al., 2008), volcanic arcs (Mehl et al., 2003), and xenoliths and kimberlites from continental cratons (Ben-Ismail et al., 2001; Satsukawa et al., 2010). Of these samples, 42 (31 natural and 11 laboratory) have had LPO identified by the authors based on the orientations of their crystallographic axes: 12 have been identified as A-type, 6 E-type, and 24 D-type. The methods employed by these previous authors to calculate bulk seismic properties from individual crystallographic orientations generally follow a similar procedure and (a) nearly ubiquitously assume samples are composed of 100% olivine and (b) use the single-crystal olivine tensor of Abramson et al. (1997). For one harzburgite sample from the Oman ophiolite, the seismic properties were measured directly using ultrasonics, and therefore, contributions from other phases are inherently included (Peselnick & Nicolas, 1978). The temperature and pressure at





**Figure 1.** Schematic summary of the most commonly observed lattice-preferred orientation (LPO) types. (a) Slip systems, (b) crystallographic orientations, and (c) compressional velocities,  $V_p$ , expected for right lateral shear (top to the right shear sense). Note the qualitative differences in  $V_p$  between the three fabric types arising from the relative orientations of [100], [010], and [001]. (d) Relative strengths of radial and azimuthal anisotropy for A-, D-, and E-type fabrics under the assumption that the shear plane is parallel to Earth's surface. Differences in the orientation of slow [010] and intermediate [001] axes result in measurable differences in seismic anisotropy. Azimuthal anisotropy is sensitive to the two crystallographic axes in the horizontal plane, and radial anisotropy is sensitive to the difference between the azimuthally averaged horizontal axes and the vertically oriented axis. The depiction of a slight rotation of [100] from the shear plane for E-type LPO is based on laboratory observations (Katayama et al., 2004) and natural samples (Skemer et al., 2010).

which the elastic calculations are carried out can vary slightly among studies, but this has a negligible effect on seismic anisotropy (Ben-Ismail & Mainprice, 1998).

For all samples considered, the orientation of the elastic tensor with respect to the shear plane and shear direction were determined. Upon comparing to the seismic model, all samples in the database were oriented in the seismic reference frame: shear plane parallel to the X-Y plane defined by Earth's surface and shear direction parallel to the X-axis defined by the fossil-spreading direction (FSD). The Z-axis is oriented perpendicular to Earth's surface (i.e., perpendicular to the shear plane).

Estimates of shear strain associated with deformation are routinely measured for laboratory samples and range from undeformed ( $\gamma \sim 0$ ) to  $\gamma \sim 18.7$  in our data set, but such estimates are rarely available for natural rocks. One exception is the Josephine shear zone in southwestern Oregon (Hansen & Warren, 2015; Warren et al., 2008), which has pre-existing foliations that provide passive strain markers that imply highly strained peridotites up to  $\gamma \sim 20$  (Skemer et al., 2010).

### 3. Methods

### 3.1. Surface-Wave Inversion With P<sub>n</sub> Constraints

We invert previously measured surface-wave phase velocities for the shear and compressional velocities and anisotropy beneath the NoMelt array. Previously measured anisotropic Rayleigh- (5-150 s) and Love-wave (5-7.5 s) phase velocities from Russell et al. (2019) are inverted, while simultaneously satisfying  $V_p$  constraints in the upper  $\sim$ 7 km of the mantle from Mark et al. (2019) ("Weighted data, with gradients" in their Table 1). For consistency, the inversion is carried out to 400 km depth as in Russell et al. (2019), but we focus here only on the upper  $\sim$ 7 km of the mantle, for which both P and S constraints exist.



Surface-waves traveling through a weakly anisotropic medium with orthorhombic symmetry can be described by 13 independent elastic parameters, composing the elastic stiffness tensor,  $C_{ij}$ . Following Russell et al. (2019), we divide the elastic tensor into two parts and invert for each separately:

$$C_{ij} = C_{ij}^{\mathrm{TI}} + \delta C_{ij},\tag{1}$$

where  $C_{ij}^{TI}$  is the transversely isotropic part that consists of Love's five elastic parameters A, C, F, L, and N (Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981) describing azimuthally averaged Rayleigh- and Love-wave phase velocities, and  $\delta C_{ij}$  contains eight parameters ( $G_c$ ,  $G_s$ ,  $B_c$ ,  $B_s$ ,  $E_c$ ,  $E_s$ ,  $H_c$ ,  $H_s$ ) that describe the strength and direction of azimuthal anisotropy of Rayleigh and Love waves (see Appendix A).

First, we jointly invert the azimuthally averaged Rayleigh- and Love-wave phase velocities  $(c^R, c^L)$  for the five transverse isotropy parameters  $V_{SV} = \sqrt{L/\rho}$ ,  $V_{SH} = \sqrt{N/\rho}$ ,  $V_{PV} = \sqrt{C/\rho}$ ,  $V_{PH} = \sqrt{A/\rho}$ , and  $\eta = F/(A - 2L)$  (Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981):

$$\delta c^{\mathrm{R}}(\omega) = \frac{c^2}{U} \int \left( K_{\mathrm{PV}} \delta V_{\mathrm{PV}}(r) + K_{\mathrm{PH}} \delta V_{\mathrm{PH}}(r) + K_{\mathrm{SV}} \delta V_{\mathrm{SV}}(r) + K_{\eta} \delta \eta(r) \right) dr, \tag{2}$$

$$\delta c^{\rm L}(\omega) = \frac{c^2}{U} \int \left( K_{\rm SV} \delta V_{\rm SV}(r) + K_{\rm SH} \delta V_{\rm SH}(r) \right) \, dr, \tag{3}$$

where  $\delta c(\omega) = c_0(\omega) - c^{\text{pre}}(\omega)$  is the residual between observed and predicted isotropic phase velocity at angular frequency  $\omega$  at a given model iteration, U is group velocity, and  $K_m(\omega, r)$  are the Fréchet derivatives for each model parameter m calculated using MINEOS. Poorly resolved parameters are scaled following Russell et al. (2019). We fix  $\eta = F/(A - 2L)$  to PREM values (Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981), and  $V_{PV}$  is scaled such that  $\phi^{-1} = (V_{PH}/V_{PV})^2$  remains equal to  $\xi = (V_{SH}/V_{SV})^2$ . In the upper 7 km of the mantle, we fix  $V_{PH}$  to values from Mark et al. (2019). Radial anisotropy,  $\xi$ , provides a proxy for the fast axis alignment in the horizontal ( $\xi > 1$ ) or vertical ( $\xi < 1$ ) plane.

Using the transversely isotropic model as the reference model, we then invert the magnitude and fast azimuth of Rayleigh- and Love-wave azimuthal anisotropy for the depth dependent magnitude and direction of azimuthal anisotropy elastic parameters G, B, H, and E (see Appendix A). Expressed in terms of sine and cosine coefficients  $A_s$  and  $A_c$ , respectively, the relationships between surface-wave azimuthal anisotropy and the eight elastic parameters are given by Montagner & Nataf (1986)

$$A_{c2,s2}^{R}(\omega) = \frac{c}{U} \int \left( L K_L \frac{G_{c,s}}{L}(r) + A K_A \frac{B_{c,s}}{A}(r) + F K_F \frac{H_{c,s}}{F}(r) \right) dr,$$
(4)

$$A_{c2,s2}^{\rm L}(\omega) = \frac{c}{U} \int \left( -L K_L \frac{G_{c,s}}{L}(r) \right) dr, \qquad (5)$$

$$A_{c4,s4}^{L}(\omega) = \frac{c}{U} \int \left( -N K_N \frac{E_{c,s}}{N}(r) \right) dr, \qquad (6)$$

where subscripts containing "2" and "4" indicate  $2\theta$  and  $4\theta$  azimuthal variations and superscripts again indicate wave type.

 $P_n$  waves sample  $V_{\text{PH}}$  directly beneath the Moho. The azimuthally averaged  $P_n$  velocity and its  $2\theta$  and  $4\theta$  azimuthal dependence provides independent constraints on elastic parameters A, B, and E, respectively via

$$\rho V_{Pn}(\theta)^2 = A + B_c \cos(2\theta) + B_s \sin(2\theta) + E_c \cos(4\theta) + E_s \sin(4\theta)$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

and enters the surface-wave inversion simply as prior constraints on those parameters. Below ~7 km beneath the Moho, at which  $P_n$  constraints terminate, and for the parameter H (which is poorly constrained by surface waves), we follow the general scaling approach described in Russell et al. (2019), whereby B and H scale directly with G. For this study, the direct B and G constraints in the upper 7 km suggest an empirical B/G scaling of ~1.5 that is applied throughout the model. Additionally, an H/G scaling of -0.11 is applied based on ophiolite samples (Ben-Ismail & Mainprice, 1998; Peselnick & Nicolas, 1978). The precise scaling between anisotropic parameters

is complex and likely depends on several factors including LPO symmetry, fabric orientation relative to the horizontal plane, and the LPO of secondary phases (Eddy et al., 2022; Montagner & Anderson, 1989). Thus, this study focuses on *G*, *B*, *E*, and  $\xi$  in the shallowmost lithosphere for which these parameters are directly constrained by the seismic observations and do not depend on *a priori* scaling assumptions.

### 3.2. Constructing the Orthorhombic Elastic Tensor

A general elastic tensor is described by 21 independent elastic parameters. This tensor is simplified to only 9 parameters (A, C, F, L, N, G, B, H, E) if orthorhombic symmetry is assumed and the three orthogonal crystallographic axes ([100], [010], [001]) are oriented along the principal directions (i.e., in the principal coordinate system). In this configuration, any crystallographic axis may be oriented along any of the three principal directions. This requirement is relaxed for the two horizontal directions in order to allow for arbitrary orientations of azimuthal anisotropy in the horizontal plane, resulting in an elastic tensor with 13 parameters (Montagner & Nataf, 1986). As one axis is assumed to be vertical (a requirement given that surface waves are horizontally propagating), dipping fabrics are not explicitly resolvable. The symmetric elastic tensor is given by

$C_{ij} =$	$A + B_{\rm c} + E_{\rm c}$	$A - 2N - E_{\rm c}$	$F + H_{\rm c}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}B_{\rm s}+E_{\rm s}$	
	•	$A - B_{\rm c} + E_{\rm c}$	$F - H_{\rm c}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}B_{\rm s}-E_{\rm s}$	
	•	•	С	0	0	$H_{ m s}$	
	•	•		$L - G_{\rm c}$	$G_{\rm s}$	0	. (
		•			$L + G_{c}$	0	
ļ					•	$N - E_{\rm c}$	

Although we solve for all 13 parameters of the tensor in the upper 7 km of the mantle, only 9 are independently determined by our observations (L, N, A,  $G_{c,s}$ ,  $B_{c,s}$ ,  $E_{c,s}$ ). The remaining four terms that require scaling assumptions (C, F,  $H_{c,s}$ ) do not contribute to the quantitative comparisons between the in situ tensor and the natural and laboratory petrofabrics in Section 4.

### 3.3. Accounting for Pyroxene in Anisotropy Calculations

Secondary phases other than olivine in a given sample act to reduce the bulk strength of seismic anisotropy (Bernard et al., 2021; Mainprice & Silver, 1993). Yet, the laboratory and natural petrofabrics used in this study consider aggregates of pure olivine. In order to directly compare these samples against our in situ estimate, which inherently includes bulk chemistry, we approximate the influence of secondary phases on seismic anisotropy following Hansen et al. (2014). Mineral physics calculations (Stixrude & Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2011) using the tool Perple X (Connolly, 2009) suggest the shallow lithospheric mantle is comprised of  $\sim 60$  vol.% olivine assuming an upper mantle composition consistent with standard depleted MOR basalt (MORB) mantle composition (Hacker, 2008) and a half-space cooling temperature profile for 70 Ma (Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1). Invoking the simplifying assumption that the remaining 40% by volume can be approximated by orthopyroxene, a composite elastic tensor is constructed for each sample by taking the Voigt average between the olivine tensor and an orthopyroxene texture from Hansen et al. (2014) (Figure S2 in Supporting Information S1). This orthopyroxene texture comes from samples in which the orthopyroxene is dispersed in a dominantly olivine matrix, with the orthopyroxene comprising <3% of the total volume. We do not account for more complex structures, such as pyroxene-rich bands, which may develop in realistic polyphase aggregates with higher modal fractions of pyroxene. This treatment of the influence of orthopyroxene acts to reduce the overall strength of the fabric without having a large effect on the fast propagation azimuth (Bernard et al., 2021).

For samples in which author-reported anisotropy strengths were used instead of being calculated from an elastic tensor, an empirical scaling was applied to account for the effect of pyroxene. Considering only well-developed fabrics with  $\gamma > 2$  from the laboratory data of Hansen et al. (2014, 2016), fabric strength was calculated with orthopyroxene content ranging from 0% to 100% by volume for each sample and was fit with a linear function





**Figure 2.** Comprehensive elastic model and data fit for the NoMelt region. Summary of the NoMelt\_SPani model. (a),  $V_p$ ,  $V_s$ , and Radial anisotropy  $\xi$  (solid) model and data fit after Russell et al. (2019). Gray shading for NoMelt radial anisotropy captures the range of models that fit the data, with the best fit model shown in black. Brown shading indicates where both  $V_p$  (Mark et al., 2019) and  $V_s$  constraints exist in the upper ~7 km of the mantle. NF89 (Nishimura & Forsyth, 1989), 52–110 Ma region; PA5 (Gaherty et al., 1996). (b), Azimuthal anisotropy strength and azimuth. Model uncertainties of two standard deviations from bootstrapping are shaded. Data fit and range of bootstrap model fits are shown in the lower panels. (c), Velocity calculations for the average elastic tensor for the upper ~7 km of the lithospheric mantle (NoMelt\_SPani7), plotted using the MATLAB Seismic Anisotropy Toolkit (Walker & Wookey, 2012). The horizontal black line denotes the horizontal plane with the fossil-spreading direction (FSD) to the east and west. Maxima and minima are denoted by a square and circle, respectively.  $dV_s = 200(V_{SH} - V_{SV})/(V_{SH} + V_{SV})$ .

(Figure S3 in Supporting Information S1). The relationship between pyroxene content and anisotropy magnitude is nearly -1:1 and provides a straightforward method for scaling anisotropy magnitude.

### 4. Results

### 4.1. A Comprehensive Elastic Model of Oceanic Lithosphere

Previously measured high-frequency ambient-noise Rayleigh- and Love-wave phase velocities were inverted for the complete elastic structure at NoMelt, incorporating co-located  $P_n$  constraints. The resulting model, NoMelt\_SPani, is shown in Figure 2, and here we focus on the upper ~7 km beneath the Moho for which P- and S-constraints coincide. Azimuthal anisotropy increases with depth beneath the Moho for both  $G(V_{sy})$ 

Table 1	
NoMelt_SPani7 Elastic Tensor, $C_{ii}$ , Averaged Over the Upper ~7 km of the	
Mantle	

i	j	1	2	3	4	5	6
1		243.5427	71.5726	73.6646	0	0	1.5311
2		-	212.0492	74.4322	0	0	1.2569
3		-	-	213.2303	0	0	0.0068
4		-	-	-	68.5948	-0.0441	0
5		_	_	-	-	75.3675	0
6		-	-	-	-	-	74.4751

*Note.* The tensor is oriented in the seismological reference frame with *X* parallel to the fossil-spreading direction, *Y* parallel to Earth's surface and perpendicular to fossil spreading, and *Z* perpendicular to Earth's surface.

anisotropy) and *B* ( $V_{PH}$  anisotropy), but remains relatively constant for *E* ( $V_{SH}$  anisotropy), largely due to the lack of Love-wave depth sensitivity (Russell et al., 2019). Anisotropy fast azimuths  $\Psi_G$  and  $\Psi_B$  are sub-parallel to the FSD, and  $\Psi_E$  is rotated by 45°, as predicted for orthorhombic olivine (Montagner & Nataf, 1986). In detail, while  $\Psi_G$  and  $\Psi_B$  are each consistent with FSD within error, they differ from one another by 5–10°. This subtle mismatch is likely attributed to the different depth sensitivities of  $P_n$  and surface waves: the refraction imaging is primarily sensitive to the shallowest ~7 km of the mantle, while the surface waves integrate across the upper ~20 km.

From the 13 elastic parameters, we construct the equivalent orthorhombic elastic tensor at each depth and average the upper 7 km to produce a single representative elastic tensor, NoMelt\_SPani7, given in Table 1. The tensor has been rotated into the seismic reference frame such that *X* is parallel to the FSD, *Y* is perpendicular to the FSD and parallel to Earth's surface, and *Z* is perpendicular to Earth's surface. The  $V_p$  pole figure shown in Figure 2c indirectly expresses the relative orientations of the three crystallographic axes.



The well-defined maximum parallel to the FSD indicates a sub-horizontal, clustered [100] fast axis. The girdled slow and intermediate directions indicate dispersed [010] and [001] axes perpendicular to the inferred shear direction, characteristic of D-type olivine fabric (Figure 1b).

### 4.2. Quantification of in Situ Strain

Olivine LPO strength, and in turn the magnitude of seismic anisotropy, increases with shear strain (Hansen et al., 2014, 2016). The NoMelt model provides four independent estimates of the magnitude of seismic anisotropy and three independent estimates of anisotropic directions that can be directly compared to olivine LPO formed as a function of shear strain (Figure 3). We compare NoMelt\_SPani7 to samples deformed in laboratory torsion experiments (Hansen et al., 2014, 2016) as well as to samples deformed naturally in a shear zone in the Josephine Peridotite, for which strain was determined measurable in outcrop (Hansen & Warren, 2015; Warren et al., 2008).

When 100% olivine is assumed, the high strains required to match the orientation of fast directions in Figures 3f-3hcorrespond to magnitudes of anisotropy in Figures 3a-3d that are approximately twice that which we observe. As the NoMelt model represents harzburgitic oceanic lithosphere, it is not surprising that a direct comparison to pure olivine fabrics fails to produce a range of shear strains compatible with the seismic model. Incorporating the effect of composition on the sample fabrics by accounting for the presence of pyroxene helps resolve this discrepancy. For each sample, we approximate the contributions from pyroxene by combining each pure olivine elastic tensor with the tensor for an appropriately oriented orthopyroxene texture following Hansen et al. (2014) as described in Section 3.3. We assume a nominal harzburgite composition of 60% olivine and 40% orthopyroxene by volume, which represents the lower bound of olivine content in abyssal peridotites observed globally (Warren, 2016). For the natural samples from Hansen and Warren (2015), dunite samples are used for the 100% olivine case, while harzburgite samples with 40% orthopyroxene added are used for the 60% olivine case. This composition is also consistent with the average lithospheric  $V_p$  and  $V_s$  in the NoMelt model, as compared to Perple\_X calculations (Connolly, 2009) for a typical depleted MOR basalt (Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1). Compared to the pure olivine estimates, the mixture systematically decreases the strength of anisotropy due to the weaker single-crystal anisotropy and LPO of orthopyroxene compared to olivine (Mainprice & Silver, 1993), while fast azimuths are largely unaffected. This observation is consistent with the recent findings of Bernard et al. (2021), who investigated orthopyroxene effects on seismic anisotropy using a large suite of upper mantle xenoliths and found that the fast azimuth of anisotropy is not affected by orthopyroxene LPO for samples with >60% olivine.

The overall agreement between NoMelt anisotropy and the laboratory torsion data for 60% olivine is remarkable given the vast difference in length scale of the measurements (~9 orders of magnitude assuming seismic wavelengths on the order of ~100 km and laboratory LPO texture measurements from areas of ~100 × 100  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>). The magnitude of anisotropy at NoMelt is consistent with laboratory samples for shear strains ranging from approximately 2 to 4 (minimum average misfit at  $\gamma = 2.7$ ), and the orientation of fast directions is consistent with  $\gamma > 3$ (Figure 4). We therefore infer that this portion of the upper mantle was subject to shear strains ranging from 2.5 to 4, which is on the upper end of that expected at shallow depths during seafloor spreading (Tommasi, 1998). The angle between the fast  $V_p$  axis and inferred shear plane is small ( $\leq 10^\circ$ ) for  $\gamma > 1.5$  (Figure 3e), implying a sub-horizontal concentration of [100] crystallographic axes (Skemer et al., 2012). Based on this observation we interpret a subhorizontal fabric at NoMelt, although we are unable to directly constrain fabric dip with our data set that consists only of horizontally propagating waves.

In natural samples, the evolution of LPO with increasing strain is more scattered and exhibits clear differences compared to experimental samples. For a given strain and olivine content, the magnitude of anisotropy of the natural fabrics is consistently weaker (open symbols in Figures 3a–3d). This is perhaps unsurprising given the differences in scale between natural shear zones, such as at the Josephine ophiolite, and laboratory torsion experiments. In addition, the anisotropy fast directions of the natural samples fail to consistently rotate into the shear direction with increasing strain, remaining misaligned by ~25° at  $\gamma = 5.25$  (Figures 3f–3h). The relatively weak and misaligned anisotropy of the highly strained Josephine samples is likely attributed to the presence of a pre-existing LPO prior to shear zone development, which prolongs fabric development and misalignment of [100] with respect to the shear direction (Kumamoto et al., 2019; Skemer et al., 2012; Warren et al., 2008). The natural samples also include contributions of secondary phases to LPO development, while the laboratory experiments



10.1029/2022GC010542



Figure 3. NoMelt anisotropy compared with olivine petrofabric data as a function of shear strain and pyroxene content. (a-d) Anisotropy strength and (f-h) fast azimuth with respect to the shear direction or fossil-spreading direction (FSD) for laboratory (solid circles) (Hansen et al., 2014, 2016) and natural samples (open squares) (Hansen & Warren, 2015). The NoMelt seismic model for the upper ~7 km of the lithospheric mantle, NoMelt\_SPani7, is shown in gray with a width that represents the full range of values in that depth range. NoMelt FSD uncertainty is  $\sim 10^{\circ}$ . (e) Magnitude of the angle between maximum  $V_p$  and the shear plane; seismic model does not constrain this parameter. Calculations for (blue) pure olivine and (red) 60% olivine, 40% orthopyroxene are shown (see Section 3.3 for details on the inclusion of orthopyroxene). Error bars show median and standard deviation for laboratory data binned by strain with bin width  $\gamma = 0.75$ .  $V_p$  surfaces are shown above (a) for laboratory samples averaged by increments of  $\gamma = 2$  (See also Figure S6 in Supporting Information S1). All samples oriented consistent with the seismic reference frame: shear plane parallel to Earth's surface and shear direction parallel to the FSD.

on pure olivine do not. Uncertainties associated with measuring orientations in the field and preparing oriented samples for electron backscatter diffraction measurements in the lab may also contribute to scatter. NoMelt anisotropy is stronger than even the most highly strained natural sample ( $\gamma = 5.25$ ) with 60% olivine, highlighting the remarkably coherent LPO in the lithosphere across the  $600 \times 400$  km<sup>2</sup> NoMelt footprint (Russell et al., 2019).





**Figure 4.** Misfit of anisotropy strength and fast azimuth with shear strain. (a) Misfit between the strength of anisotropy of NoMelt\_SPani7 observations and experimental data from Figure 3, assuming 100% olivine. Line color indicates anisotropy type, where black is the average misfit value. Thick lines indicate values of misfit that are below the misfit threshold of  $\chi^2 = 1.5$  (dashed line), meaning that the seismic observation and laboratory data agree. (b) Same as (a) but for anisotropy fast azimuth. (c and d) Same as (a and b) but for 60% olivine and 40% pyroxene. Misfit is calculated as  $\chi^2 = (\bar{d}_{obs} - \bar{d}_{exp})^2 / \sigma_{obs}^2$ , where  $\bar{d}_{obs}$  is the median observed value at Nomelt,  $\bar{d}_{exp}$  is the median experimental value, and  $\sigma_{obs}$  is the uncertainty on the observed value.

Any pre-existing vertical LPO associated with upwelling at the ridge was likely weak in comparison to that imposed after corner flow and therefore overprinted.

Strain evolution of LPO from D-type at intermediate strains to A-type at high strains was previously identified by Hansen et al. (2014). This LPO evolution is reflected in the average  $V_p$  surfaces shown above Figure 3a (Figure S6 in Supporting Information S1). The inferred shear strain at NoMelt of 2.5–4 based on strength and direction of anisotropy in comparison to laboratory samples corresponds to fabrics with girdled slow and intermediate  $V_p$  directions on average, consistent with a D-type LPO.

### 4.3. Distinguishing LPO Fabric Type

We further investigate LPO fabric type by comparing the relative magnitudes of azimuthal and radial anisotropy, which provides a discriminant of LPO type if the orientation of the sample with respect to the shear plane is known (Karato, 2008; Karato et al., 2008) (Figure 1). The idea is similar to the more general Flinn diagram method of Michibayashi et al. (2016) based on ratios of  $V_p$  along different crystallographic directions and was recently proposed as a way to distinguish intrinsic LPO from extrinsic anisotropy mechanisms such as compositional layering or oriented melt pockets (Hansen et al., 2021). In Figure 5, we compare the strength of NoMelt anisotropy with that calculated for A-, D-, and E-type olivine fabrics from both natural settings (Ben-Ismail et al., 2001; Ben-Ismail & Mainprice, 1998; Karato, 2008; Mehl et al., 2003; Michibayashi et al., 2006; Peselnick & Nicolas, 1978; Satsukawa et al., 2010; Skemer et al., 2010; Warren et al., 2008) and laboratory deformation experiments (Bystricky et al., 2000; Jung & Karato, 2001; Jung et al., 2006; Katayama et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2000). For most samples, the LPO type was characterized by the original authors.



### 10.1029/2022GC010542



**Figure 5.** Anisotropy of A- (red), D- (green), and E-type (blue) olivine lattice-preferred orientations (LPOs). Radial anisotropy compared to (a)  $V_{PH}$ , (b)  $V_{SV}$ , and (c)  $V_{SH}$  azimuthal anisotropy for three fabric types compared to the NoMelt model, shown in gray. Open and closed symbols denote laboratory and natural samples, respectively. The large filled diamonds show the mean and one standard deviation of the sample populations for each fabric type. Effects of orthopyroxene are included assuming a composition of 75% olivine and 25% orthopyroxene by volume. No correction is applied to the samples from Peselnick and Nicolas (1978), which were derived ultrasonically and therefore already include the bulk chemistry. Note that (c) contains fewer data points than (a and b) due unreported  $V_{SH}$  anisotropy values for some studies.

To first order, samples with A-type LPO tend to exhibit strong radial anisotropy relative to azimuthal anisotropy, whereas the opposite is true for E-type. NoMelt displays moderate radial and azimuthal anisotropy most similar to the samples with D-type LPO. This result holds regardless of the olivine content assumed (Figure S4 in Supporting Information S1), though we find that a composition of 75% olivine and 25% orthopyroxene provides the best overall fit to the seismic observations. As the D-type LPO data in Figure 5 represent mostly natural samples, the higher olivine content required to match the seismic model is consistent with Figure 3, which showed that natural samples underestimate the magnitude of anisotropy for 60% olivine and 40% orthopyroxene. The true pyroxene content of the Josephine harzburgites ranges between 12.8% and 35.1% (Hansen & Warren, 2015), and accounting for this fact would bring the natural samples closer to the NoMelt observations of *B/A*, *G/L*, and *E/N* in Figure 3. A harzburgite composition with 75% olivine is also consistent with abyssal peridotites from fast-spreading MORs, which tend to have higher olivine content (70%–95%) compared to slow-spreading MORs (Dick & Natland, 1996; Niu & Hékinian, 1997; Warren, 2016). If lower pyroxene content is assumed, the relationship in Figures 3a–3d would indicate a moderately lower magnitude of strain at NoMelt that is inconsistent with the higher strains implied by the anisotropy fast orientations parallel to the shear direction (Figures 3f–3h).

Scatter among samples of the same fabric type in Figure 5 is likely attributed to differences in shear strain, with low strain samples exhibiting weaker anisotropy, as demonstrated in Figures 3a–3d. At lower shear strains (and in the presence of a pre-existing LPO) dip of [100] with respect to the shear plane is common (Skemer et al., 2012) (Figure 3e), resulting in weaker radial and azimuthal anisotropy. Therefore, dipping fabrics in Figure 5 will tend to skew toward the origin, which does not change the overall inference of D-type LPO (Figure S5 in Supporting Information S1). In other cases, scatter may be attributed to samples that straddle the boundary between two LPO types, such as the peridotite averages of Ben-Ismail and Mainprice (1998), which included both A-type and D-type samples.

### 5. Discussion

This study presents, to our knowledge, the first in situ quantification of the orthorhombic elastic tensor of oceanic lithosphere that incorporates both compressional- and shear-wavespeed anisotropy observations at a single location. The model, NoMelt\_SPani7, represents typical oceanic lithosphere produced by corner flow at a fast-spreading MOR, providing a benchmark for LPO formation during seafloor spreading. The relatively simple corner-flow geometry followed by strain accumulation with horizontal flow lines allows for straightforward comparison with deformed olivine petrofabrics from both natural and laboratory settings. Under the assumption of horizontal shear, we are able to infer the accumulation of shear strain in the upper 7 km of the mantle to be of order 250%–400% (Figure 3), with [010] and [001] girdled perpendicular to the shear direction, characteristic of D-type LPO (Figure 5).

### 5.1. Limitations of the Seismic Model

While this study provides an exceptionally complete in situ elastic model of oceanic lithosphere, several limitations exist. First, we are unable to explicitly solve for fabric dip because only horizontally propagating waves (surface waves and  $P_n$ ) are used. Theoretically, it is possible to utilize P and S body waves with a range of incidence angles to constrain fabric dip via the zero-valued off-diagonal elements of  $C_{ij}$  (Equation 8). In practice however, this is extremely challenging, requiring earthquake observations from a range of epicentral distances and back-azimuths that have been effectively corrected for near-source and deep-earth 3-D structure outside the region of interest. Our use of laboratory data to indirectly infer fabric dip based on the observation of fast azimuth alignment with the FSD suggests fabric is horizontal to within ~10° in our study region, providing confidence in our current approach.

A consequence of D-type LPO is the inability to uniquely determine the orientation of the shear plane using seismologically determined crystallographic orientations alone. Fortunately, the assumption of a horizontal shear plane is valid for many plate-tectonic processes including MOR spreading once far enough from the ridge. Our ability to compare the seismological model with natural and laboratory data in a relatively straightforward way is largely a result of the simple geometry. For more complex tectonic environments such as subduction zones or directly beneath MORs, the orientation of the shear plane may be significantly rotated from horizontal, and this simplified approach breaks down.

As our 1-D model represents an average over the  $600 \times 400 \text{ km}^2$  NoMelt footprint, a variation in LPO type across the region cannot be completely ruled out. For instance, a spatial variation from A-type to E-type could appear as D-type LPO when averaged over the array. Alternatively, averaging over domains with A-type LPO that have a common shear direction but orthogonal orientations of the shear planes could produce an apparent D-type LPO. This could be the case, for example, if averaging over oceanic lithosphere that includes transform faults and fracture zones, which could incorporate transitions between horizontal and vertical shear planes. However, NoMelt is situated between the Clarion and Clipperton Fracture Zones on lithosphere formed at a single MOR segment, and therefore the model captures only normal seafloor-spreading fabric. In addition, lateral variations in surface-wave phase velocities imaged at NoMelt are small (<1%) (Russell et al., 2019), consistent with a homogeneous LPO type within the footprint.

### 5.2. Strain Accumulation in the Shallow Lithosphere

Our estimate of 250%–400% strain accumulated in the upper 7 km of the mantle based on observed seismic anisotropy is, to our knowledge, the first of its type. The primary assumption underlying this estimate is that the strain evolution of olivine LPO—and in turn seismic anisotropy—observed in the laboratory is the same as that which occurs in the mantle. This necessary assumption could be an oversimplification. The influence of secondary phases, such as pyroxene, on the development of olivine LPO has not been well quantified in laboratory experiments and may depend on the proportion of the secondary phase relative to olivine. In addition, if a pre-existing fabric forms during upwelling at the MOR, LPO development associated with corner flow would be delayed, and strain estimates based solely on seismic anisotropy would be underestimated (Skemer et al., 2010). Therefore, we consider the range 250%–400% to be a lower bound on strain accumulated in the shallow lithosphere. Because the strength of LPO and seismic anisotropy saturate at higher strains (Figure 3) (Tommasi, 1998; Tommasi & Vauchez, 2015), seismic anisotropy is limited in its ability to quantify strains greater than ~400%.

### 5.3. Interpretation of D-Type Fabric

The relative strengths of radial and azimuthal anisotropy in the shallow lithosphere at NoMelt are consistent with that of D-type peridotites (Figure 5). As NoMelt is thought to represent typical oceanic plate, this observation suggests that D-type LPO is widespread in oceanic lithosphere. This hypothesis is supported by numerous studies that document D-type LPO (in addition to A-type) in oceanic peridotites (e.g., Ben-Ismail & Mainprice, 1998; Liu et al., 2019; Michibayashi et al., 2006; Michibayashi et al., 2016; Tommasi et al., 2004; Tommasi et al., 2020; Vonlanthen et al., 2006; Warren et al., 2008). Given our result, we explore utilizing this constraint on fabric type to improve our understanding of mantle deformation during seafloor spreading.

It has been well established from laboratory experiments (e.g., Karato & Wu, 1993; Karato et al., 1980; Zhang & Karato, 1995), direct sampling (e.g., Ben-Ismail & Mainprice, 1998; Peselnick & Nicolas, 1978; Tommasi et al., 2004), and seismic observations (e.g., Forsyth, 1975; Hess, 1964; Nishimura & Forsyth, 1989) that the olivine-rich upper mantle deforms via dislocation creep. This inference led to the common assumption that A-type LPO pervades the upper mantle, as it primarily results from dislocation creep with slip dominantly occurring on the easiest olivine slip system, (010)[100]. In contrast, D-type LPO results from slip on both easy slip systems (010)[100] and (001)[100] (e.g., Bystricky et al., 2000; Hansen et al., 2014). Single-crystal experiments demonstrate that critical resolved shear stresses for (001)[100] are similar to (010)[100] for comparable strain rates and temperatures <1,300°C (Bai et al., 1991).

Similar amounts of slip on both (001)[100] and (010)[100] are favored if the von Mises strain compatibility criterion is relaxed, minimizing activity of the hardest slip system, (010)[001] (Castelnau et al., 2008; Signorelli & Tommasi, 2015; Tommasi et al., 2000). Several grain-scale mechanisms have been proposed for relaxing strain compatibility in the oceanic lithosphere. On the one hand, numerical modeling suggests that subgrain rotation recrystallization can relax the requirement for strain compatibility by increasing intragranular strain heterogeneity (Signorelli & Tommasi, 2015). On the other hand, laboratory studies indicate that von Mises criterion can be relaxed by grain-boundary sliding during dislocation creep (Hansen et al., 2011; Hirth & Kohlstedt, 2003). Based on the observed grain-size dependence, dislocation-accommodated grain-boundary sliding (disGBS) has been observed to be the dominant dislocation-creep mechanism during experiments on olivine aggregates over a wide range of stresses and grain sizes (Bollinger et al., 2019; Hansen et al., 2011), including in higher temperature experiments that produced D-type fabric (e.g., Bystricky et al., 2000; Hansen et al., 2011). We emphasize that a significant amount of strain — and accompanying grain rotations that lead to LPO — is accommodated by dislocation glide on the easy slip systems in this disGBS regime, even when processes at the grain boundaries are rate limiting (e.g., see Discussion in Ferreira et al., 2021). In other words, the disGBS mechanism can be understood as a form of dislocation creep in which the dislocations interact with the grain boundaries, resulting in sensitivity to grain size.

DisGBS has been previously invoked to explain the occurrence of D-type LPO in oceanic peridotites (Braun, 2004; Warren et al., 2008). In ophiolites, A- and D-type LPOs have been observed in course-grained dunites and finer-grained harzburgites, respectively, suggesting pyroxene may play a role in D-type LPO formation by limiting olivine grain size and promoting deformation by disGBS (Warren et al., 2008). Indeed, contributions from pyroxene are important for reconciling the NoMelt observation with sample data more broadly (Figures 3 and 5).

Several recent studies have proposed that olivine LPO in the upper mantle may be produced by diffusion creep, rather than dislocation creep or disGBS (Miyazaki et al., 2013; Yabe & Hiraga, 2020). Yabe and Hiraga (2020) observed grain sizes in mantle xenoliths from oceanic mantle lithosphere that follow the Zener relationship (Kim et al., 2022; Linckens et al., 2011), which can lead to grain sizes smaller than produced by steady state dynamic recrystallization — and therefore promote diffusion creep. Although the pure-shear diffusion-creep experiments of Kim et al. (2022) produced only A-type and AG-type LPO (girdled [100] and [001] within the shear plane), they predict that prolate ("cigar" shape) strain could promote the development of D-type LPO. However, such constrictional strain in not expected at the base of the plate during corner flow, especially at fast spreading rates.

To our knowledge, D-type LPO has been produced in the laboratory only at conditions in which disGBS is the dominant deformation mechanism. While we cannot rule out the possibility of D-type LPO developing without a contribution from grain-boundary sliding, such a scenario has not been demonstrated in laboratory experiments. Therefore, we consider disGBS a likely candidate for relaxing strain compatibility requirements in the mantle.





**Figure 6.** Evaluation of the dislocation-accommodated grain-boundary sliding (disGBS) hypothesis for producing D-type lattice-preferred orientation (LPO) at mid-ocean ridge (MOR) conditions. (a) Strain rate contours are calculated using the nominal olivine flow laws of Hansen et al. (2011) at a temperature of 1,250°C and pressure of 360 MPa (see Table S3 in Supporting Information S1), approximating shallow mantle conditions at the MOR. The red region bounded by strain rates of  $10^{-14.5}$ – $10^{-12.5}$  s<sup>-1</sup> and within the gray dislocation-accommodated grain-boundary sliding (disGBS) regime represents the range of stress and grain sizes predicted by the disGBS hypothesis to produce D-type fabric (e.g., Braun, 2004; Warren et al., 2008). The grain-size wattmeter of Austin and Evans (2007) is shown in blue and includes uncertainties in laboratory derived stress and grain-size exponents (see Appendix B for details). A commonly used grain-size piezometer (Hirth & Kohlstedt, 2015; Karato et al., 1980) is shown in black. Laboratory data of Karato et al. (1980) are shown in teal. (b–c) Same as (a) but for perturbed flow-law parameters  $n_{DISL}$ ,  $n_{GBS}$ , and  $m_{GBS}$  within their uncertainty bounds, representing endmember cases. The star marks the reference state (d = 60 µm,  $\sigma = 60 \text{ MPa}$ ) used to ensure agreement with laboratory data (See Appendix C for details). Conditions in (b) support the disGBS hypothesis, while (c) does not.

In the following section, we explore the implications of this hypothesis recognizing that other mechanisms could contribute to D-type LPO formation.

### 5.3.1. Implications of the disGBS Hypothesis

We examine the hypothesis that deformation via disGBS during MOR spreading produced the D-type LPO that we observe in the oceanic lithosphere. Olivine flow laws derived from laboratory experiments suggest that the disGBS process dominates at upper-mantle conditions (Figure 6a). Similarly, MOR geodynamic modeling that incorporates grain-size evolution and multiple deformation processes (diffusion creep, dislocation creep, and disGBS) indicates that shallow, near-ridge deformation is dominated by disGBS in some cases (Turner et al., 2015). These results have potentially important rheological implications, as disGBS is essentially grain-size sensitive dislocation creep, while traditionally dislocation creep is considered to be insensitive to grain size (Hansen et al., 2011; Hirth & Kohlstedt, 2003). Here, we explore how upper-mantle grain size and stress may influence the dominant deformation mechanism. Olivine flow laws at a given temperature and pressure describe the strain-rate contributions of grain-size insensitive dislocation creep, disGBS, and diffusion creep processes as a function of stress and grain size. A deformation mechanism map is presented in Figure 6a for reasonable sub-Moho conditions at the MOR (T = 1,250°C, P = 360 MPa), using olivine flow-law parameters from Hansen et al. (2011) (Table S3 in Supporting Information S1). For a given stress, grain-size insensitive dislocation creep dominates at large grain sizes, and diffusion creep occurs at small grain sizes. The disGBS regime occupies the transition between the two and is distinguished by its modest grain-size dependence.

Assuming tectonic strain rates of  $10^{-14.5}$ – $10^{-12.5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, deformation via disGBS implies in situ grain sizes of 0.3–15 mm and relatively low stress (0.2–1.4 MPa), broadly consistent with recent geodynamic modeling at a MOR (Turner et al., 2015, 2017) as well as olivine grain sizes observed in peridotites (e.g., Tommasi et al., 2020; Vonlanthen et al., 2006). However, these grain sizes are on the smaller end of the steady-state predictions of ~5–50 mm from the laboratory-calibrated grain-size piezometers (Hirth & Kohlstedt, 2015; Karato et al., 1980) and the wattmeter (Austin & Evans, 2007) shown in Figure 6a (see Appendix B for details of wattmeter calculation).

Relatively small experimental uncertainties result in large uncertainties when extrapolated to mantle conditions. Considering the uncertainty in the flow-law parameters (see Appendix C for details), scenarios are possible in which the disGBS field expands to larger grain sizes coinciding with the piezometer and wattmeter predictions at mantle conditions while still satisfying the laboratory data (Figure 6b). Figure C1 in Appendix C shows the full range of possible flow-law parameter values (within experimental uncertainties) for which disGBS is predicted to be the dominant deformation mechanism at the stress/grain size values estimated by extrapolation of the grain size piezometer/wattmeter. If disGBS is responsible for producing the D-type LPO we observe and the extrapolation of the piezometer/wattmeter relationships are applicable, this analysis suggests that the nominal olivine flow law of Hansen et al. (2011) underpredicts the contribution of disGBS at mantle conditions, with a preference for smaller disGBS stress and grain-size exponents  $n_{GBS}$  and  $m_{GBS}$ , respectively, and/or a larger dislocation creep stress exponent  $n_{DISL}$  relative to their nominal reference values (Table S3 in Supporting Information S1).

Alternatively, it is possible that the flow-law parameters are skewed in the opposite sense, such that disGBS does not occur at mantle conditions (Figure 6c) and deformation occurs purely in the grain-size insensitive dislocation-creep regime. This scenario would require an alternative mechanism for producing the evidence for D-type LPO; viscoplastic self-consistent models show scenarios in which dynamic recrystallization by subgrain rotation can produce a D-type LPO (Signorelli & Tommasi, 2015). Another possibility is that the nominal flow-law parameters in Figure 6a are valid, but the temperature at which the LPO was acquired was significantly lower than 1,250°C (see Figure S7 in Supporting Information S1), though significant accumulation of strain would be difficult to achieve at low temperatures. Finally, mantle grain sizes may be somewhat smaller than predicted by the wattmeter and piezometer. Indeed, olivine grain sizes in the range of 0.5–5 mm are commonly observed in mantle peridotites (e.g., Liu et al., 2019; Michibayashi et al., 2006; Tommasi et al., 2020; Vonlanthen et al., 2006). These grain sizes observed in nature sit squarely in our predicted disGBS field and may be smaller than piezometer extra due to grain-boundary pinning in the presence of secondary phases such as pyroxene, which is not captured by predictions based on results from olivine experiments. New experiments that place tighter bounds on olivine flow-law parameters and quantify contributions of pyroxene are required to resolve these ambiguities.

This discussion is not meant to invalidate a particular olivine flow law or mechanism for relaxing strain compatibility requirements in the oceanic lithosphere; rather, the purpose is to (a) highlight the uncertainties associated with extrapolating to mantle conditions across nearly two orders of magnitude in stress and grain size—small experimental uncertainties equate to large uncertainties at mantle conditions, (b) illustrate how in situ observations of LPO type may be utilized to better constrain such extrapolations, and (c) demonstrate that the disGBS hypothesis is *consistent* with grain sizes observed in mantle rocks, to within experimental uncertainty.

### 5.4. Mid-Ocean Ridge Deformation

The strong correspondence between observed anisotropic fast directions and the direction of fossil seafloor spreading at NoMelt implies that the in situ elastic tensor represents mantle deformed by corner flow during spreading. Our inferences of girdled D-type LPO, high strain accumulation ( $\gamma = 2.5-4$ ), and sub-horizontal [100] orientations will inform new geodynamic modeling efforts of MOR dynamics and associated fabric development.

Recent models often contain relatively weak anisotropy in the shallow lithosphere with a dipping [100] orientation and A-type LPO (e.g., Blackman et al., 1996, 2017), in contrast to what we infer at NoMelt. Our observation of D-type LPO, implying equal amounts of slip on both (010)[100] and (001)[100] during MOR spreading, provides a new target for future geodynamic models. More girdled fabrics have been previously produced in viscoplastic self-consistent models by relaxing strain compatibility constraints (Tommasi et al., 2000), but generally such alternative LPO types are not explored in geodynamic models.

Rapid mantle flow in the direction of plate spreading that outpaces the spreading rate could enhance strain directly beneath the Moho relative to passive corner flow (Kodaira et al., 2014). Such active mantle flow patterns during crust and uppermost mantle lithosphere formation have been inferred from observations of lower crustal dipping reflectors and strong azimuthal anisotropy below the Moho in fast-spreading lithosphere (5–7 cm/yr) in the western Pacific (Kodaira et al., 2014) and offshore Alaska (Bécel et al., 2015). Russell et al. (2019) observed 4%–5% radial anisotropy in the lower crust at NoMelt consistent with the presence of horizontal or gently dipping reflectors, but they were unable to resolve whether the anisotropy is produced by a shape-preferred orientation of lithological layering (mafic/ultramafic banding) or LPO produced by shearing. The former has been widely observed in the lower gabbroic sections of ophiolites (e.g., Karson et al., 1984; Pallister & Hopson, 1981; Smewing, 1981), while the latter has not been directly observed. Furthermore,  $V_p$  anisotropy in the upper ~3 km of the mantle at NoMelt is relatively modest (6%–7%) compared to observations in the western Pacific (8.5%–9.8%) for which active mantle flow was invoked (Kodaira et al., 2014). This observation implies that if active mantle flow was present during formation of the NoMelt lithosphere ~70 Ma, then it must have been relatively weak. Therefore, we favor the simpler interpretation that LPO was acquired primarily by passive corner flow.

Although the precise grain-scale mechanism(s) involved in reducing strain compatibility requirements is still uncertain, D-type LPO is consistent with shallow deformation via grain-size sensitive dislocation creep (i.e., disGBS). This would imply a complex, non-Newtonian and grain-size dependent rheology that impacts solid-state convection streamlines, melt extraction, and thermal and rheological evolution of the lithosphere and asthenosphere (Turner et al., 2017). Grain size, in particular, has been shown to play an important role in melt focusing near MORs through alteration of the viscosity structure resulting in gradients in compaction pressure (Turner et al., 2015, 2017). Smaller grain sizes in the shallow mantle required for disGBS (~1–10 mm) would decrease porosity and permeability, acting as a permeability barrier along which melt may be focused toward the ridge axis. Although our modeling is only sensitive to the shallow lithospheric mantle, significantly shallower than where melt is stable off-axis, geodynamic models that include non-Newtonian viscosities predict that disGBS also dominates in the shallow asthenospheric mantle (Turner et al., 2015). Presence of melt near the ridge axis may inhibit grain growth, leading to decreased recrystallized grain size and further promoting the disGBS mechanism (Braun et al., 2000; Faul, 1997; Hirth & Kohlstedt, 1995).

### 5.5. Importance of Pyroxene

The influence of pyroxene on bulk anisotropy, LPO development, and mantle rheology is still under investigation. A recent study of natural peridotites containing various olivine and orthopyroxene LPO types shows that orthopyroxene has no significant effect on the fast azimuth of seismic anisotropy when olivine composes at least 60% of the sample (Bernard et al., 2021). However, the strength of anisotropy does depend on both the proportion of pyroxene and the magnitude of strain (Figures 3a–3d). In practice, it is not possible to separate these two effects when composition is unknown, and one must assume a realistic mantle composition based on prior knowledge as we have done. Additionally, shear strain is not readily determined in natural samples except in special cases for which strain markers are present, such as at the Josephine shear zone (Hansen & Warren, 2015; Warren et al., 2008), and those samples by definition contain a pre-existing LPO, which also impacts strain development. Untangling these competing effects on anisotropy will require peridotite elastic tensors that account for the true modal compositions and LPO for all main phases within the sample (e.g., Liu et al., 2019; Tommasi et al., 2004; Tommasi et al., 2006). In addition, high-strain experiments are needed that examine the effect of olivine and pyroxene together and that quantify the influence of pre-existing fabric on LPO development using realistic aggregate compositions.

### 6. Conclusions

We develop an in situ elastic tensor for  $\sim$ 70 Ma oceanic lithosphere constrained by co-located shear and compressional seismic observations sensitive to the upper  $\sim$ 7 km of the mantle. By comparing our model to a suite of previously published tensors from olivine aggregates deformed in nature and in the laboratory, we provide new constraints on LPO type and magnitude of shear strain accumulated in oceanic lithosphere. The relatively strong azimuthal anisotropy with fast azimuth parallel to the spreading direction is consistent with shear strains of 250%–400% and sub-horizontal fabric produced by corner flow near the MOR. The relative strengths of azimuthal anisotropy suggest D-type LPO ([100] parallel to the shear direction and girdled [001] and [010] perpendicular to shear) irrespective of assumed olivine content or fabric dip. Assuming NoMelt represents the average oceanic plate, this result implies that D-type LPO is more ubiquitous in the oceanic lithosphere than typically thought. Our observations can be explained by a mantle composition of 60%–75% olivine, consistent with observed mantle peridotites, but the competing effects of composition, strain, and pre-existing LPO on anisotropy strength cannot be completely isolated.

We hypothesize that D-type LPO in the shallow lithosphere results from near-ridge deformation dominated by disGBS that relaxes strain compatibility requirements and allows equal amounts of slip on both easy slip systems. Under this assumption, our observation implies in situ grain sizes of 0.3–15 mm, consistent with observed peridotites, and stresses on the order of 0.2–1.4 MPa. The grain-size sensitivity of disGBS deformation impacts the rheological behavior of the spreading mantle and subsequent LPO development. This study demonstrates the ability to integrate seismological- and laboratory-scale observations of seismic anisotropy to improve understanding of MOR dynamics and mantle deformation during seafloor spreading.

### **Appendix A: Elastic Parameter Definitions**

The five Love parameters A, C, L, N, and F (Anderson & Dziewonski, 1982; Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981) that describe a transversely isotropic medium can be written in terms of the elastic tensor  $C_{ij}$  as follows (Montagner & Nataf, 1986):

$$A = \rho V_{\rm PH}^2 = \frac{3}{8} \left( C_{11} + C_{22} \right) + \frac{1}{4} C_{12} + \frac{1}{2} C_{66}, \tag{A1}$$

$$C = \rho V_{\rm PV}^2 = C_{33}, \tag{A2}$$

$$L = \rho V_{\rm SV}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( C_{55} + C_{44} \right), \tag{A3}$$

$$N = \rho V_{\rm SH}^2 = \frac{1}{8} \left( C_{11} + C_{22} \right) - \frac{1}{4} C_{12} + \frac{1}{2} C_{66}, \tag{A4}$$

$$F = \rho \eta \left( V_{\rm PH}^2 - 2V_{\rm SV}^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( C_{13} + C_{23} \right), \tag{A5}$$

$$\xi = \left(\frac{V_{\rm SH}}{V_{\rm SV}}\right)^2 = \frac{N}{L},\tag{A6}$$

where radial anisotropy,  $\xi$ , is a proxy for vertical ( $\xi < 1$ ) or horizontal ( $\xi > 1$ ) flow in the mantle.

In the case of a medium with orthorhombic symmetry, each elastic moduli varies about its average value according to a sinusoid with magnitude (*G*, *B*, *H*, and *E*) and corresponding azimuth ( $\Psi_G$ ,  $\Psi_B$ ,  $\Psi_H$ , and  $\Psi_E$ ) of anisotropy:

$$2\theta :$$

$$G = \delta L = \sqrt{G_{\rm c}^2 + G_{\rm s}^2}, \qquad \Psi_G = \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left(\frac{G_{\rm s}}{G_{\rm c}}\right); \qquad (A7)$$

$$B = \delta A = \sqrt{B_{\rm c}^2 + B_{\rm s}^2}, \qquad \Psi_B = \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left(\frac{B_{\rm s}}{B_{\rm c}}\right); \qquad (A8)$$

$$H = \delta F = \sqrt{H_{\rm c}^2 + H_{\rm s}^2}, \qquad \Psi_H = \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left(\frac{H_{\rm s}}{H_{\rm c}}\right); \tag{A9}$$

$$4\theta$$

$$E = \delta N = \sqrt{E_{\rm c}^2 + E_{\rm s}^2}, \qquad \Psi_E = \frac{1}{4} \arctan\left(\frac{-E_{\rm s}}{-E_{\rm c}}\right); \tag{A10}$$

$$G_{\rm c} = \frac{1}{2} \left( C_{55} - C_{44} \right),\tag{A11}$$

$$G_{\rm s} = C_{54}, \tag{A12}$$

$$B_{\rm c} = \frac{1}{2} \left( C_{11} - C_{22} \right), \tag{A13}$$

$$B_{\rm s} = C_{16} + C_{26},\tag{A14}$$

$$H_{\rm c} = \frac{1}{2} \left( C_{13} - C_{23} \right), \tag{A15}$$

$$I_{\rm s} = C_{36},\tag{A16}$$

$$E_{\rm c} = \frac{1}{8} \left( C_{11} + C_{22} \right) - \frac{1}{4} C_{12} - \frac{1}{2} C_{66}, \tag{A17}$$

$$E_{\rm s} = \frac{1}{2} \left( C_{16} - C_{26} \right), \tag{A18}$$

The magnitude and direction of azimuthal anisotropy depend on the bulk orientation and degree of organization of olivine [100] within the horizontal plane.

F

### Appendix B: Wattmeter Steady-State Grain Size Calculation

ŀ

Grain-size evolution as a function of stress ( $\sigma$ ) is estimated following the wattmeter approach of Austin and Evans (2007):

$$\dot{d} = \frac{K_{\rm g}}{p} d^{1-p} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{\rm g} + \bar{P}V_{\rm g}}{RT}\right) - \frac{2\chi}{c\gamma} d^2\sigma : \dot{\varepsilon},\tag{B1}$$

where  $K_g$  and  $E_g$  are the grain growth prefactor and activation energy, respectively. The first term on the right-hand side represents grain growth by material diffusion between grains, and the second term represents grain-size reduction through dynamic recrystallization, which depends on  $\chi$  the fraction of work done by dislocation mechanisms in order to change grain-boundary area, average specific grain-boundary energy,  $\gamma$ , and a geometric constant, *c*. We follow (Behn et al., 2009) and assume a grain growth exponent of p = 3. Assuming deformation occurs via disGBS,  $\dot{\epsilon} = A_{\text{GBS}}\sigma^n d^{-m} \exp(-(E_{\text{GBS}} + PV_{\text{GBS}})/RT)$  and setting Equation B1 equal to zero, the steady-state grain size is given by:

$$d_{\rm ss} = \left[\frac{K_{\rm g} c \gamma}{2 \chi p A_{\rm GBS} \sigma^{n+1}} \exp\left(\frac{\left(E_{\rm GBS} - E_{\rm g}\right) + \left(P V_{\rm GBS} - P V_{\rm g}\right)}{RT}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{1+p-m}} \tag{B2}$$

All parameter values can be found in Table S3 in Supporting Information S1. The resulting steady-state grain-size variation with stress, or wattmeter, at  $T = 1,250^{\circ}$ C is shown in Figure 6.

### **Appendix C: Flow-Law Uncertainties**

Uncertainty in grain-size and stress exponents in olivine flow laws affect both the wattmeter calculation as well as deformation mechanism maps when extrapolated from laboratory to mantle conditions. We evaluate the effect of experimentally determined uncertainties in  $m_{\text{GBS}}$ ,  $n_{\text{GBS}}$ , and  $n_{\text{DISL}}$  on the wattmeter and deformation mechanism

15252027, 2022, 10, Dov

maps by perturbing them within their uncertainty bounds ( $n \pm 0.3$  and  $m \pm 0.1$  relative to the reference values listed in Table S3 in Supporting Information S1). In order to remain consistent with the laboratory data, we correspondingly solve for prefactors  $A_{GBS}$  and  $A_{DISL}$  using the strain rate evaluated at a reference state of  $d = 60 \ \mu m$  and  $\sigma = 60 \ MPa$ , representative of average laboratory conditions. The deformation mechanism map and wattmeter are then calculated using the new value of A and perturbed values of n and m. The resulting effects on the deformation mechanism map, and the disGBS region in particular, are shown for two endmember cases in Figures 6b and 6c.



**Figure C1.** A grid search is performed over values of  $n_{\text{DISL}}$ ,  $n_{\text{GBS}}$ , and  $m_{\text{GBS}}$  within their uncertainty bounds in order to determine the combinations for which disGBS is predicted to occur at grain sizes corresponding to the piezometer and wattmeter shown in Figure 6 at mantle strain rates. A combination of parameters is considered compatible when the grain-size piezometer and wattmeter are completely within the disGBS region of the deformation mechanism map for strain rates of  $10^{-14.5}$ – $10^{-12.5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. Filled red circles indicate parameter combinations for which this criterion is met, while open circles failed to meet this criterion. The vertical and horizontal blue lines indicate the reference values of  $n_{\text{GBS}}$  and  $m_{\text{GBS}}$ , respectively from Table S3 in Supporting Information S1. The reference value of  $n_{\text{DISL}}$  is 3.5. We find that smaller values of  $n_{\text{GBS}}$  and  $m_{\text{GBS}}$  and  $m_{\text{DISL}}$  relative to their reference values are more compatible with the disGBS hypothesis.

15252027, 2022, 10, Downle

10.1029/2022GC010542 by

Brown University

Because we calculate the deformation mechanism maps and the grain size versus stress relationships at a temperature of 1,250°C (which is within the temperature range that the grain-growth and deformation experiments were conducted), uncertainties in the activation energies for the flow laws and grain-growth law (Speciale et al., 2020) do not significantly impact our analysis. This flow-law exploration was implemented using the Very Broadband Rheology calculator (Havlin et al., 2021).

We perform a grid search over values of  $m_{GBS}$ ,  $n_{GBS}$ , and  $n_{DISL}$  within their uncertainty bounds to determine the range of parameters that satisfy our in situ inference of disGBS as well as the laboratory derived grain-size piezometer and wattmeter (Figure C1). A set of parameters is considered compatible if disGBS is predicted to occur at grain sizes at least as large as those predicted from the piezometer and wattmeter in Figure 6 (i.e., when the piezometer and wattmeter fall completely within the disGBS regime) at mantle strain rates of  $10^{-14.5}$ - $10^{-12.5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. Figure C1 shows that this criterion is met when  $m_{\text{GBS}}$  and  $n_{\text{GBS}}$  are smaller than their reference values and/or  $n_{\text{DISL}}$ is larger than its reference value. Furthermore, there is a clear tradeoff between the disGBS parameters ( $m_{GBS}$ ,  $n_{\text{GBS}}$ ) and the dislocation creep parameter  $n_{\text{DISL}}$ .

### **Data Availability Statement**

Seismic data was accessed through the IRIS Data Management Center under network code ZA using the open-source Python package ObsPy (https://docs.obspy.org/). Elastic tensors were manipulated and visualized using the MATLAB Seismic Anisotropy Toolkit (https://geophysics.gly.bris.ac.uk/MSAT/). Olivine flow laws were calculated using the Very Broadband Rheology calculator (https://vbr-calc.github.io/vbr/). The complete elastic models are included as Tables S1 and S2 in Supporting Information S1 and all compiled sample data are included as Data Set S1-S4. These files are also hosted at the following external repository: https://doi. org/10.5281/zenodo.7079909.

### **References**

- Abramson, E. H., Brown, J. M., Slutsky, L. J., & Zaug, J. (1997). The elastic constants of San Carlos olivine to 17 GPa. Journal of Geophysical Research, 102(B6), 12253-12263. https://doi.org/10.1029/97jb00682
- Anderson, D. L., & Dziewonski, A. M. (1982). Upper mantle anisotropy: Evidence from free oscillations. Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society, 69(2), 383-404. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.1982.tb04956.x
- Austin, N. J., & Evans, B. (2007). Paleowattmeters: A scaling relation for dynamically recrystallized grain size. Geology, 35(4), 343–346. https:// doi.org/10.1130/G23244A.1
- Bai, O., Mackwell, S. J., & Kohlstedt, D. L. (1991). High-temperature creep of olivine single crystals 1. Mechanical results for buffered samples. Journal of Geophysical Research, 96(B2), 2441-2463. https://doi.org/10.1029/90jb01723
- Bécel, A., Shillington, D. J., Nedimovi, M. R., Webb, S. C., & Kuehn, H. (2015). Origin of dipping structures in fast-spreading oceanic lower crust offshore Alaska imaged by multichannel seismic data. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 424, 26-37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. epsl.2015.05.016
- Behn, M. D., Hirth, G., & Elsenbeck, J. R. (2009). Implications of grain size evolution on the seismic structure of the oceanic upper mantle. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 282(1-4), 178-189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.03.014
- Ben-Ismail, W., Barruol, G., & Mainprice, D. (2001). The Kaapvaal craton seismic anisotropy: Petrophysical analyses of upper mantle kimberlite nodules. Geophysical Research Letters, 28(13), 2497-2500. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000gl012419
- Ben-Ismail, W., & Mainprice, D. (1998). An olivine fabric database: An overview of upper mantle fabrics and seismic anisotropy. Tectonophysics, 296(1-2), 145-157. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(98)00141-3
- Bernard, R. E., Schulte-Pelkum, V., & Behr, W. M. (2021). The competing effects of olivine and orthopyroxene CPO on seismic anisotropy. Tectonophysics, 814, 228954. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2021.228954
- Blackman, D. K., Boyce, D. E., Castelnau, O., Dawson, P. R., & Laske, G. (2017). Effects of crystal preferred orientation on upper-mantle flow near plate boundaries: Rheologic feedbacks and seismic anisotropy. Geophysical Journal International, 210(3), 1481-1493. https://doi. org/10.1093/gji/ggx251
- Blackman, D. K., Kendall, J., Paul, R. D., Wenk, H., Boyce, D., & Morgan, J. P. (1996). Teleseismic imaging of subaxial flow at mid-ocean ridges: Traveltime effects of anisotropic mineral texture in the mantle. Geophysical Journal International, 127(2), 415–426. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-246x.1996.tb04730.x
- Bollinger, C., Marquardt, K., & Ferreira, F. (2019). Intragranular plasticity vs. grain boundary sliding (GBS) in forsterite: Microstructural evidence at high pressures (3.5-5.0 GPa). American Mineralogist, 104(2), 220-231. https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2019-6629
- Braun, M. G. (2004). Petrologic and microstructural constraints on focused melt transport in dunites and the rheology of the shallow mantle (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Massachesetts Institute of Technology.
- Braun, M. G., Hirth, G., & Parmentier, E. M. (2000). The effects of deep damp melting on mantle flow and melt generation beneath mid-ocean ridges. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 176(3-4), 339-356. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(00)00015-7
- Bystricky, M., Kunze, K., Burlini, L., & Burg, J. P. (2000). High shear strain of olivine aggregates: Rheological and seismic consequences. Science, 290(5496), 1564-1567. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.290.5496.1564
- Castelnau, O., Blackman, D. K., Lebensohn, R. A., & Castañeda, P. P. (2008). Micromechanical modeling of the viscoplastic behavior of olivine. Journal of Geophysical Research, 113(B9), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JB005444
- Connolly, J. A. (2009). The geodynamic equation of state: What and how. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 10(10). https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2009GC002540

The authors thank Haemyeong Jung, Katsuyoshi Michibayashi, and Misha Bystricky who shared previously published elastic tenors used in this study. The authors thank Andréa Tommasi and two anonymous reviewers whose thoughtful comments helped greatly improve this article. This work also benefited from conversations with Benjamin Holtzman. This research was supported by the NSF under Grant OCE-0928270 and OCE-1538229 (J.B. Gaherty).

Library

ő

- Dick, H., & Natland, J. (1996). Late-stage melt evolution and transport in the shallow mantle beneath the East Pacific Rise. Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results, 147, 103–134. https://doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.sr.147.007.1996
- Dziewonski, A. M., & Anderson, D. L. (1981). Preliminary reference Earth model. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 25(4), 297–356. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201(81)90046-7
- Eddy, C. L., Ekström, G., & Nettles, M. (2022). Three-dimensional seismic anisotropy in the Pacific upper mantle from inversion of a surface-wave dispersion data set. *Geophysical Journal International*, 231(1), 355–383. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggac194
- Faul, U. H. (1997). Permeability of partially molten upper mantle rocks from experiments and percolation theory. Journal of Geophysical Research, 102(B5), 10299–10311. https://doi.org/10.1029/96jb03460
- Ferreira, F., Hansen, L. N., & Marquardt, K. (2021). The effect of grain boundaries on plastic deformation of olivine. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 126(7), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JB020273
- Forsyth, D. W. (1975). The early structural evolution and anisotropy of the oceanic upper mantle. *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 43(1), 103–162. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.1975.tb00630.x
- Gaherty, J. B., Jordan, T. H., & Gee, L. S. (1996). Seismic structure of the upper mantle in a central Pacific corridor. Journal of Geophysical Research, 101(B10), 22291–22309. https://doi.org/10.1029/96jb01882
- Gaherty, J. B., Lizarralde, D., Collins, J. A., Hirth, G., & Kim, S. (2004). Mantle deformation during slow seafloor spreading constrained by observations of seismic anisotropy in the Western Atlantic. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 228(3–4), 255–265. https:// /doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2004.10.026

Hacker, B. R. (2008). H2O subduction beyond arcs. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 9(3). https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GC001707

- Hansen, L. N., Faccenda, M., & Warren, J. M. (2021). A review of mechanisms generating seismic anisotropy in the upper mantle. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 313, 106662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2021.106662
- Hansen, L. N., & Warren, J. M. (2015). Quantifying the effect of pyroxene on deformation of peridotite in a natural shear zone. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 120(4), 2717–2738. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JB011584.Received
- Hansen, L. N., Warren, J. M., Zimmerman, M. E., & Kohlstedt, D. L. (2016). Viscous anisotropy of textured olivine aggregates, Part 1: Measurement of the magnitude and evolution of anisotropy. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 445, 92–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. epsl.2016.04.008
- Hansen, L. N., Zhao, Y. H., Zimmerman, M. E., & Kohlstedt, D. L. (2014). Protracted fabric evolution in olivine: Implications for the relationship among strain, crystallographic fabric, and seismic anisotropy. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 387, 157–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. epsl.2013.11.009
- Hansen, L. N., Zimmerman, M. E., & Kohlstedt, D. L. (2011). Grain boundary sliding in San Carlos olivine: Flow law parameters and crystallographicpreferred orientation. Journal of Geophysical Research, 116(8), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008220

Havlin, C., Holtzman, B. K., & Hopper, E. (2021). Inference of thermodynamic state in the asthenosphere from anelastic properties, with applications to North American upper mantle. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 314, 106639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2020.106639

Hess, H. (1964). Seismic anisotropy of the uppermost mantle under oceans. *Nature*, 203(4945), 629–631. https://doi.org/10.1038/203629a0 Hirth, G., & Kohlstedt, D. L. (1995). Experimental constraints on the dynamics of the partially molten upper mantle 2. Deformation in the dislo-

cation creep regime. Journal of Geophysical Research, 100(B8), 15441–15449. https://doi.org/10.1029/95jb01292

Hirth, G., & Kohlstedt, D. L. (2003). Rheology of the upper mantle and the mantle wedge: A view from the experimentalists. In *Inside the subduc*tion factory: Geophysical monograph (Vol. 138, pp. 83–105).

- Hirth, G., & Kohlstedt, D. L. (2015). The stress dependence of olivine creep rate: Implications for extrapolation of lab data and interpretation of recrystallized grain size. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 418, 20–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.02.013
- Jung, H., & Karato, S.-I. (2001). Water-induced fabric transitions in olivine. Science, 293(5534), 1460–1464. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1062235
- Jung, H., Katayama, I., Jiang, Z., Hiraga, T., & Karato, S.-I. (2006). Effect of water and stress on the lattice-preferred orientation of olivine. *Tectonophysics*, 421(1-2), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2006.02.011
- Karato, S.-i. (2008). Insights into the nature of plume-asthenosphere interaction from central Pacific geophysical anomalies. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 274(1–2), 234–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.07.033
- Karato, S.-I., Jung, H., Katayama, I., & Skemer, P. (2008). Geodynamic significance of seismic anisotropy of the upper mantle: New insights from laboratory studies. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 36(1), 59–95. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.36.031207.124120
- Karato, S.-I., Toriumi, M., & Fujii, T. (1980). Dynamic recrystallization of olivine single crystals during high-temperature creep. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 7(9), 649–652. https://doi.org/10.1029/gl007i009p00649

Karato, S.-I., & Wu, P. (1993). Rheology of the upper mantle: A synthesis (Vol. 260). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.260.5109.771.5109

- Karson, J. A., Collins, J. A., & Casey, J. F. (1984). Geologic and seismic velocity structure of the crust/mantle transition in the Bay of Islands ophiolite complex. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 89(B7), 6126–6138. https://doi.org/10.1029/jb089ib07p06126
- Katayama, I., Jung, H., & Karato, S.-I. (2004). New type of olivine fabric from deformation experiments at modest water content and low stress. *Geology*, 32(12), 1045–1048. https://doi.org/10.1130/G20805.1
- Kim, N., Ando, A., Yabe, K., & Hiraga, T. (2022). Olivine morphology and fabric during diffusion creep: Pure shear experiments. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 127(5). https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JB023613

Kodaira, S., Fujie, G., Yamashita, M., Sato, T., Takahashi, T., & Takahashi, N. (2014). Seismological evidence of mantle flow driving plate motions at a palaeo-spreading centre. *Nature Geoscience*, 7(5), 371–375. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2121

Kumamoto, K. M., Warren, J. M., & Hansen, L. N. (2019). Evolution of the josephine peridotite shear zones: 2. Influences on olivine CPO evolution. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124(12), 12763–12781. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JB017968

Lin, P.-Y. P., Gaherty, J. B., Jin, G., Collins, J. A., Lizarralde, D., Evans, R. L., & Hirth, G. (2016). High-resolution seismic constraints on flow dynamics in the oceanic asthenosphere. *Nature*, 535(7613), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18012

Linckens, J., Herwegh, M., Mntener, O., & Mercolli, I. (2011). Evolution of a polymineralic mantle shear zone and the role of second phases in the localization of deformation. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116(6), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JB008119

Liu, S., Tommasi, A., Vauchez, A., & Mazzucchelli, M. (2019). Crust-mantle coupling during continental convergence and break-up: Constraints from peridotite xenoliths from the Borborema Province, northeast Brazil. *Tectonophysics*, 766, 249–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto. 2019.05.017

Mainprice, D., & Silver, P. G. (1993). Interpretation of SKS-waves using samples from the subcontinental lithosphere. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 78(3–4), 257–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201(93)90160-B

Mark, H. F., Lizarralde, D., Collins, J. A., Miller, N. C., Hirth, G., Gaherty, J. B., & Evans, R. L. (2019). Azimuthal seismic anisotropy of 70-Ma Pacific-plate upper mantle. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 124(2), 1889–1909. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB016451

- Mehl, L., Hacker, B., Hirth, G., & Kelemen, P. B. (2003). Arc-parallel flow within the mantle wedge: Evidence from the accreted Talkeetna arc, south central Alaska. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *108*(B8), 2375. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002jb002233
- Michibayashi, K., Ina, T., & Kanagawa, K. (2006). The effect of dynamic recrystallization on olivine fabric and seismic anisotropy: Insight from a ductile shear zone, Oman ophiolite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 244(3–4), 695–708. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.02.019
- Michibayashi, K., Mainprice, D., Fujii, A., Uehara, S., Shinkai, Y., Kondo, Y., et al. (2016). Natural olivine crystal-fabrics in the Western Pacific convergence region: A new method to identify fabric type. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 443, 70–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. epsl.2016.03.019
- Miyazaki, T., Sueyoshi, K., & Hiraga, T. (2013). Olivine crystals align during diffusion creep of Earth's upper mantle. *Nature*, 502(7471), 321–326. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12570
- Montagner, J. P., & Anderson, D. L. (1989). Petrological constraints on seismic anisotropy. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 54(1–2), 82–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201(89)90189-1
- Montagner, J. P., & Nataf, H. C. (1986). A simple method for inverting the azimuthal anisotropy of surface waves. Journal of Geophysical Research, 91(B1), 511–520. https://doi.org/10.1029/jb091ib01p00511
- Montagner, J. P., & Tanimoto, T. (1991). Global upper mantle tomography of seismic Velocities and anisotropies. Journal of Geophysical Research, 96(9), 20337–20351. https://doi.org/10.1029/91jb01890
- Nishimura, C. E., & Forsyth, D. W. (1989). The anisotropic structure of the upper mantle in the Pacific Ocean. Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society, 96(2), 203–229. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.1989.tb04446.x
- Niu, Y., & Hékinian, R. (1997). Basaltic liquids and harzburgitic residues in the garrett transform: A case study at fast-spreading ridges. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 146(1–2), 243–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(96)00218-x
- Pallister, J. S., & Hopson, C. A. (1981). Samail ophiolite plutonic suite: Field relations, phase variation, cryptic variation and layering, and a model of a spreading ridge magma chamber. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 86(B4), 2593–2644. https://doi.org/10.1029/JB086iB04p02593
- Peselnick, L., & Nicolas, A. (1978). Seismic anisotropy in an ophiolite peridotite: Application to oceanic upper mantle. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 83(B3), 1227–1235. https://doi.org/10.1029/jb083ib03p01227
- Qi, C., Hansen, L. N., Wallis, D., Holtzman, B. K., & Kohlstedt, D. L. (2018). Crystallographic preferred orientation of olivine in sheared partially molten Rocks: The source of the "a-c switch". Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 19(2), 316–336. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GC007309
- Russell, J. B., Gaherty, J. B., Lin, P. Y. P., Lizarralde, D., Collins, J. A., Hirth, G., & Evans, R. L. (2019). High-resolution constraints on Pacific Upper mantle petrofabric inferred from surface-wave anisotropy. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 124(1), 631–657. https://doi. org/10.1029/2018JB016598
- Satsukawa, T., Michibayashi, K., Raye, U., Anthony, E. Y., Pulliam, J., & Stern, R. (2010). Uppermost mantle anisotropy beneath the southern Laurentian margin: Evidence from Knippa peridotite xenoliths, Texas. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37(20), L20312. https://doi. org/10.1029/2010GL044538
- Signorelli, J., & Tommasi, A. (2015). Modeling the effect of subgrain rotation recrystallization on the evolution of olivine crystal preferred orientations in simple shear. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 430, 356–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.08.018
- Skemer, P., Warren, J. M., & Hirth, G. (2012). The influence of deformation history on the interpretation of seismic anisotropy. *Geochemistry*, *Geophysics, Geosystems*, 13(3), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GC003988
- Skemer, P., Warren, J. M., Kelemen, P. B., & Hirth, G. (2010). Microstructural and rheological evolution of a mantle shear zone. Journal of Petrology, 51(1–2), 43–53. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egp057
- Smewing, J. D. (1981). Mixing characteristics and compositional differences in mantle-derived melts beneath spreading axes: Evidence from cyclically layered rocks in the ophiolite of North Oman. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 86(B4), 2645–2659. https://doi.org/10.1029/ jb086ib04p02645
- Speciale, P. A., Behr, W. M., Hirth, G., & Tokle, L. (2020). Rates of olivine grain growth during dynamic recrystallization and postdeformation annealing. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125(11). https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JB020415
- Stixrude, L., & Lithgow-Bertelloni, C. (2011). Thermodynamics of mantle minerals II. Phase equilibria. *Geophysical Journal International*, 184(3), 1180–1213. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.2010.04890.x
- Takeo, A., Kawakatsu, H., Isse, T., Nishida, K., Shiobara, H., Sugioka, H., et al. (2018). In situ characterization of the lithosphere-asthenosphere system beneath NW Pacific Ocean via broadband dispersion survey with two OBS arrays. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 19(9), 3529–3539. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC007588
- Tommasi, A. (1998). Forward modeling of the development of seismic anisotropy in the upper mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 160(1-2), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(98)00081-8
- Tommasi, A., Godard, M., Coromina, G., Dautria, J. M., & Barsczus, H. (2004). Seismic anisotropy and compositionally induced velocity anomalies in the lithosphere above mantle plumes: A petrological and microstructural study of mantle xenoliths from French polynesia. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 227(3–4), 539–556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2004.09.019
- Tommasi, A., Mainprice, D., Canova, G., & Chastel, Y. (2000). Viscoplastic self-consistent and equilibrium-based modeling of olivine lattice preferred orientations: Implications for the upper mantle seismic anisotropy. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105(B4), 7893–7908. https:// doi.org/10.1029/1999jb900411
- Tommasi, A., Mameri, L., & Godard, M. (2020). Textural and compositional changes in the lithospheric mantle atop the Hawaiian plume: Consequences for seismic properties. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 21(8), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GC009138
- Tommasi, A., & Vauchez, A. (2015). Heterogeneity and anisotropy in the lithospheric mantle. *Tectonophysics*, 661, 11–37. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.07.026
- Toomey, D. R., Jousselin, D., Dunn, R. A., Wilcock, W. S. D., & Detrick, R. S. (2007). Skew of mantle upwelling beneath the East Pacific Rise governs segmentation. *Nature*, 446(7134), 409–414. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05679
- Turner, A. J., Katz, R. F., & Behn, M. D. (2015). Grain-size dynamics beneath mid-ocean ridges: Implications for permeability and melt extraction. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 16(3), 925–946. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005692.Received
- Turner, A. J., Katz, R. F., Behn, M. D., & Keller, T. (2017). Magmatic focusing to mid-ocean ridges: The role of grain-size variability and non-Newtonian viscosity. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 18(12), 4342–4355. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GC007048
- Vonlanthen, P., Kunze, K., Burlini, L., & Grobety, B. (2006). Seismic properties of the upper mantle beneath Lanzarote (Canary Islands): Model predictions based on texture measurements by EBSD. *Tectonophysics*, 428(1–4), 65–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2006.09.005
- Walker, A. M., & Wookey, J. (2012). Computers & Geosciences MSAT a new toolkit for the analysis of elastic and seismic anisotropy. Computers & Geosciences, 49, 81–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2012.05.031
- Warren, J. M. (2016). Global variations in abyssal peridotite compositions. *Lithos*, 248–251, 193–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2015.12.023
  Warren, J. M., Hirth, G., & Kelemen, P. B. (2008). Evolution of olivine lattice preferred orientation during simple shear in the mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 272(3–4), 501–512. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.03.063



- Yabe, K., & Hiraga, T. (2020). Grain-boundary diffusion creep of olivine: 2. Solidus effects and consequences for the viscosity of the oceanic upper mantle. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *125*(8), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JB019416
- Zhang, S., ichiro Karato, S., Fitz Gerald, J., Faul, U. H., & Zhou, Y. (2000). Simple shear deformation of olivine aggregates. *Tectonophysics*, 316(1–2), 133–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(99)00229-2
- Zhang, S., & Karato, S.-I. (1995). Lattice preferred orientation of olivine aggregates deformed in simple shear. *Nature*, 375(6534), 774–777. https://doi.org/10.1038/375774a0